

# Variable neighborhood search for the travelling salesman problem and its variants

**Nenad Mladenović,**

Brunel University-West London UK

FON, MI-SANU, University of Belgrade

- Introduction
- Variable neighborhood search algorithms
  - ▷ Variable metric algorithm;
  - ▷ VND;
  - ▷ Reduced VNS;
  - ▷ Basic VNS;
  - ▷ Skewed VNS;
  - ▷ General VNS;
  - ▷ More extensions
  - ▷ VN Decomposition search;
  - ▷ Primal-Dual VNS
- Balcor 2011, Thessaloniki, Greece

# Introduction

- Optimization problems (continuous-discrete, static-dynamic, deterministic-stochastic)
- Exact methods, Heuristics, Simulation (Monte-Carlo)
- Classical heuristics (constructive (greedy add, greedy drop), relaxation based, space reduction, local search, Lagrangian heuristics,...)
- Metaheuristics (Simulated annealing, Tabu search, GRASP, Variable neighborhood search, Genetic search, Evolutionary methods, Particle swarm optimization, ....)
- Variable neighborhood search

# Optimization problems

A deterministic optimization problem may be formulated as

$$\min\{f(x)|x \in X, X \subseteq \mathcal{S}\}, \quad (1)$$

- where  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $X$ ,  $x$  and  $f$  denote the solution space, the feasible set, a feasible solution and a real-valued objective function, respectively.
- If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a finite but large set, a combinatorial optimization problem is defined.
- If  $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^n$ , we refer to continuous optimization.
- A solution  $x^* \in X$  is optimal if

$$f(x^*) \leq f(x), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

- An exact algorithm for problem (1), if one exists, finds an optimal solution  $x^*$ , together with the proof of its optimality, or shows that there is no feasible solution, i.e.,  $X = \emptyset$ , or the solution is unbounded.
- For continuous optimization, it is reasonable to allow for some degree of tolerance, i.e., to stop when sufficient convergence is detected.

## Variable metric algorithm

Assume that the function  $f(x)$  is approximated by its Taylor series

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Ax - b^T x$$

$$x_{i+1} - x_i = -H_{i+1}(\nabla f(x_{i+1}) - \nabla f(x_i)).$$

Function **VarMetric**( $x$ )

let  $x \in R^n$  be an initial solution

$H \leftarrow I; g \leftarrow -\nabla f(x)$

**for**  $i = 1$  to  $n$  **do**

$\alpha^* \leftarrow \arg \min_{\alpha} f(x + \alpha \cdot Hg)$

$x \leftarrow x + \alpha^* \cdot Hg$

$g \leftarrow -\nabla f(x)$

$H \leftarrow H + U$

**end**

## Local search

Function **BestImprovement**( $x$ )

**repeat**

$x' \leftarrow x$

$x \leftarrow \arg \min_{y \in N(x)} f(y)$

**until** ( $f(x) \geq f(x')$ );

Function **FirstImprovement**( $x$ )

**repeat**

$x' \leftarrow x; i \leftarrow 0$

**repeat**

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

$x \leftarrow \arg \min\{f(x), f(x_i)\}, x_i \in N(x)$

**until** ( $f(x) < f(x_i)$  or  $i = |N(x)|$ );

**until** ( $f(x) \geq f(x')$ );

## Variable neighborhood search

- Let  $\mathcal{N}_k$ , ( $k = 1, \dots, k_{max}$ ), a finite set of pre-selected neighborhood structures,
- $\mathcal{N}_k(x)$  the set of solutions in the  $k^{th}$  neighborhood of  $x$ .
- Most local search heuristics use only one neighborhood structure, i.e.,  $k_{max} = 1$ .
- An optimal solution  $x_{opt}$  (or global minimum) is a feasible solution where a minimum is reached.
- We call  $x' \in X$  a local minimum with respect to  $\mathcal{N}_k$  (w.r.t.  $\mathcal{N}_k$  for short), if there is no solution  $x \in \mathcal{N}_k(x') \subseteq X$  such that  $f(x) < f(x')$ .
- Metaheuristics (based on local search procedures) try to continue the search by other means after finding the first local minimum. VNS is based on three simple facts:
  - ▷ A local minimum w.r.t. one neighborhood structure is not necessarily so for another;
  - ▷ A global minimum is a local minimum w.r.t. all possible neighborhood structures;
  - ▷ For many problems, local minima w.r.t. one or several  $\mathcal{N}_k$  are relatively close to each other.

# Variable neighborhood search

- In order to solve optimization problem by using several neighborhoods, facts 1 to 3 can be used in three different ways:
  - ▷ (i) deterministic;
  - ▷ (ii) stochastic;
  - ▷ (iii) both deterministic and stochastic.
- Some VNS variants
  - ▷ Variable neighborhood descent (VND) (sequential, nested)
  - ▷ Reduced VNS (RVNS)
  - ▷ Basic VNS (BVNS)
  - ▷ Skewed VNS (SVNS)
  - ▷ General VNS (GVNS)
  - ▷ VN Decomposition Search (VNDS)
  - ▷ Parallel VNS (PVNS)
  - ▷ Primal Dual VNS (P-D VNS)
  - ▷ Reactive VNS
  - ▷ Backward-Forward VNS
  - ▷ Best improvement VNS
  - ▷ Exterior point VNS
  - ▷ VN Simplex Search (VNSS)

- ▷ VN Branching
- ▷ VN Pump
- ▷ Continuous VNS
- ▷ Mixed Nonlinear VNS (RECIPE), etc.

## Neighborhood change

Function `NeighbourhoodChange` ( $x, x', k$ )

**if**  $f(x') < f(x)$  **then**

$x \leftarrow x'; k \leftarrow 1$  /\* Make a move \*/

**else**

$k \leftarrow k + 1$  /\* Next neighborhood \*/

**end**

## Reduced VNS

Function RVNS ( $x, k_{max}, t_{max}$ )

**repeat**

$k \leftarrow 1$

**repeat**

$x' \leftarrow \text{Shake}(x, k)$

$\text{NeighborhoodChange}(x, x', k)$

**until**  $k = k_{max}$ ;

$t \leftarrow \text{CpuTime}()$

**until**  $t > t_{max}$ ;

- RVNS is useful in very large instances, for which local search is costly.
  - It has been observed that the best value for the parameter  $k_{max}$  is often 2.
  - The maximum number of iterations between two improvements is usually used as a stopping condition.
  - RVNS is akin to a Monte-Carlo method, but is more systematic
- 
- Balcor 2011, Thessaloniki, Greece

- When applied to the  $p$ -Median problem, RVNS gave solutions as good as the Fast Interchange heuristic of Whitaker while being 20 to 40 times faster.

# VND

Function VND ( $x, k'_{max}$ )

**repeat**

$k \leftarrow 1$

**repeat**

$x' \leftarrow \arg \min_{y \in \mathcal{N}'_k(x)} f(x)$  /\* Find

the best neighbor in  $\mathcal{N}_k(x)$  \*/

NeighbourhoodChange ( $x, x', k$ ) /\*

Change neighbourhood \*/

**until**  $k = k'_{max}$ ;

**until** no improvement is obtained;

# Sequential VND

**Function** Seq-VND( $x, \ell_{max}$ )

$\ell \leftarrow 1$  // Neighborhood counter

**repeat**

$i \leftarrow 0$  // Neighbor counter

**repeat**

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

$x' \leftarrow \arg \min\{f(x), f(x_i)\}, x_i \in N_\ell(x)$  // Compare

**until** ( $f(x') < f(x)$  or  $i = |N_\ell(x)|$ )

$\ell, x \leftarrow \text{NeighborhoodChange}(x, x', \ell);$  // Neighborhood change

**until**  $\ell = \ell_{max}$

- The final solution of Seq-VND should be a local minimum with respect to all  $\ell_m$  neighborhoods.
- The chances to reach a global minimum are larger than with a single neighborhood structure.
- The total size of Seq-VND is equal to the union of all neighborhoods used.

- If neighborhoods are disjoint (no common element in any two) then the following holds

$$|\mathcal{N}_{\text{Seq-VND}}(x)| = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\ell_{max}} |\mathcal{N}_{\ell}(x)|, \quad x \in X.$$

## Nested VND

- Assume that we define two neighborhood structures ( $\ell_{max} = 2$ ). In the nested VND we fact perform local search with respect to the first neighborhood in any point of the second
- The cardinality of neighborhood obtained with the nested VND is product of cardinalities neighborhoods included, i.e.,

$$|\mathcal{N}_{\text{Nest-VND}}(x)| = \prod_{\ell=1}^{\ell_{max}} |\mathcal{N}_{\ell}(x)|, \quad x \in X.$$

- The pure Nest-VND neighborhood is much larger than the sequential one.
- The number of local minima w.r.t. Nest-VND will be much smaller than the number of local minima w.r.t. Seq-VND.

# Nested VND

Function **Nest-VND** ( $x, x', k$ )

Make an order of all  $\ell_{max} \geq 2$  neighborhoods that will be used in the search

Find an initial solution  $x$ ; let  $x_{opt} = x, f_{opt} = f(x)$

Set  $\ell = \ell_{max}$

**repeat**

**if** all solutions from  $\ell$  neighborhood are visited **then**  $\ell = \ell + 1$

**if** there is any non visited solution  $x_\ell \in N_\ell(x)$  and  $\ell \geq 2$  **then**  $x_{cur} = x_\ell, \ell = \ell - 1$

**if**  $\ell = 1$  **then**

Find objective function value  $f = f(x_{cur})$

**if**  $f < f_{opt}$  **then**  $x_{opt} = x_{cur}, f_{opt} = f_{cur}$

**until**  $\ell = \ell_{max} + 1$  (i.e., until there is no more points in the last neighborhood)

## Mixed nested VND

- After exploring  $b$  (a parameter) neighborhoods, we switch from a nested to a sequential strategy. We can interrupt nesting at some level  $b$  ( $1 \leq b \leq \ell_{max}$ ) and continue with the list of the remaining neighborhoods in sequential manner.
- If  $b = 1$ , we get Seq-VND. If  $b = \ell_{max}$  we get Nest-VND.
- Since nested VND intensifies the search in a deterministic way, boost parameter  $b$  may be seen as a balance between intensification and diversification in deterministic local search with several neighborhoods.
- Its cardinality is clearly

$$|\mathcal{N}_{\text{Mix-VND}}(x)| = \sum_{\ell=b}^{\ell_{max}} |\mathcal{N}_{\ell}(x)| + \prod_{\ell=1}^{b-1} |\mathcal{N}_{\ell}(x)|, \quad x \in X.$$

# Basic VNS

The Basic VNS (BVNS) method [?] combines deterministic and stochastic changes of neighbourhood. Its steps are given in Algorithm 8.

Function VNS ( $x, k_{max}, t_{max}$ )

**repeat**

$k \leftarrow 1$

**repeat**

$x' \leftarrow \text{Shake}(x, k)$             */\* Shaking \*/*

$x'' \leftarrow \text{FirstImprovement}(x')$    */\* Local search \*/*

$\text{NeighbourhoodChange}(x, x'', k)$  */\* Change  
neighbourhood \*/*

**until**  $k = k_{max}$ ;

$t \leftarrow \text{CpuTime}()$

**until**  $t > t_{max}$ ;

# General VNS

Function **GVNS** ( $x, k'_{max}, k_{max}, t_{max}$ )

**repeat**

$k \leftarrow 1$

**repeat**

$x' \leftarrow \text{Shake}(x, k)$

$x'' \leftarrow \text{VND}(x', k'_{max})$

$\text{NeighborhoodChange}(x, x'', k)$

**until**  $k = k_{max}$ ;

$t \leftarrow \text{CpuTime}()$

**until**  $t > t_{max}$ ;

# Skewed VNS

Function `NeighbourhoodChangeS( $x, x'', k, \alpha$ )`

**if**  $f(x'') - \alpha\rho(x, x'') < f(x)$  **then**

$x \leftarrow x''; k \leftarrow 1$   
**else**

$k \leftarrow k + 1$   
**end**

- Balcor 2011, Thessaloniki, Greece

Function SVNS ( $x, k_{max}, t_{max}, \alpha$ )

**repeat**

$k \leftarrow 1; x_{best} \leftarrow x$

**repeat**

$x' \leftarrow \text{Shake}(x, k)$

$x'' \leftarrow \text{FirstImprovement}(x')$

$\text{KeepBest}(x_{best}, x)$

$\text{NeighbourhoodChangeS}(x, x'', k, \alpha)$

**until**  $k = k_{max}$ ;

$x \leftarrow x_{best}$

$t \leftarrow \text{CpuTime}()$

**until**  $t > t_{max}$ ;

# Extensions

Function BI-VNS ( $x, k_{max}, t_{max}$ )

**repeat**

$k \leftarrow 1$   $x_{best} \leftarrow x$

**repeat**

$x' \leftarrow \text{Shake}(x, k)$

$x'' \leftarrow \text{FirstImprovement}(x')$

$\text{KeepBest}(x_{best}, x'')$

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

**until**  $k = k_{max}$ ;

$x \leftarrow x_{best}$

$t \leftarrow \text{CpuTime}()$

**until**  $t > t_{max}$ ;

# Extensions

Function FH-VNS ( $x, k_{max}, t_{max}$ )

**repeat**

$k \leftarrow 1$

**repeat**

**for**  $\ell = 1$  to  $k$  **do**

$x' \leftarrow \text{Shake}(x, k)$

$x'' \leftarrow \text{FirstImprovement}(x')$

$\text{KeepBest}(x, x'')$

**end**

$\text{NeighbourhoodChange}(x, x'', k)$

**until**  $k = k_{max}$ ;

$t \leftarrow \text{CpuTime}()$

**until**  $t > t_{max}$ ;